

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,718	03/04/2002	Martin Hurich	10191/2275 4797	
26646 KENYON & K	7590 05/10/2007 CENYON LLP		EXAMINER	
ONE BROADWAY			ÇERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/090,718	HURICH, MARTIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David G. Cervetti	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the second of the second of the second of the second of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 February 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	· 					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2002</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/090,718 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed February 26, 2007, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. Claims 1-19 are pending and have been examined.

Response to Amendment

- 3. The objection to claim 1 is withdrawn.
- 4. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn.
- 5. Regarding Applicant's arguments that Wasilewski does not anticipate the claimed invention because it uses DES, Examiner respectfully submits and points Applicant's attention to the fact that Wasilewski expressly teaches hashing the input (which produces an output which corresponds to "no allocation between input and output data occurs") and then encrypts this under DES (col. 6, lines 44-55), preferably, not exclusively. Information is hashed, which is not byte-wise, then encrypted, which allegedly produces byte-wise allocated output, but this is allegedly byte-wise to the already processed data (not to the original input data). The input data according to Wasilewski is some information, and the output data is the information hashed and the hashed data encrypted under DES, if the output of the hash is not byte-wise, then the output of DES cannot be byte-wise to the original input data. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,718

Art Unit: 2136

7. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wasilewski et al. (US Patent 6,157,719, hereinafter Wasilewski).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 11, 13, 15, and 16, Wasilewski teaches a method of data encryption in programming of a control unit comprising:

encrypting a complete stream of data to be transmitted in a programming unit using a first key, wherein a byte by byte encryption of the complete stream of data is capable of being performed, and wherein no byte-wise allocation between input and output data occurs (col. 6, lines 16-55);

Page 3

- transmitting the data that had been encrypted to the control unit via a data line (col. 6, lines 56-67, col. 7, lines 1-25); and
- decrypting the data that had been encrypted in the programming unit using a second key provided in the control unit (col. 7, lines 25-67).

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Wasilewski teaches wherein the first key and the second key are identical (col. 6, lines 16-55).

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Wasilewski teaches wherein the first key and the second key are not identical (col. 6, lines 16-55).

Regarding claim 4, Wasilewski teaches wherein each one of the first key and the second key includes a table that is accessed by a hash function (col. 8, lines 1-63).

Regarding claim 5, Wasilewski teaches wherein at least one of the first key and the second key is implemented in an electronic circuit (col. 8, lines 1-63).

Regarding claim 6, Wasilewski teaches wherein at least one of the first key and the second key is implemented in the form of a computer program (col. 8, lines 1-63).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 10, Wasilewski teaches wherein the programming unit and the control unit each includes an electronic computing unit and a memory module that are linked together by a data bus (fig. 2A, cols. 6-7).

Regarding claim 12, Wasilewski teaches wherein the computing unit includes an electronic computing unit in a programming unit (fig. 2A, cols. 6-7).

Regarding claim 14, Wasilewski teaches wherein the computing unit includes an electronic computing unit in a control unit (fig. 2A, cols. 6-7).

Regarding claims 17-19, Wasilewski teaches wherein there is no bit-wise allocation between input and output data (col. 7, lines 25-67, col. 8, lines 1-40, hash-function, encrypted).

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,718

Art Unit: 2136

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David G. Cervetti whose telephone number is (571)272-5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-

Page 5

Friday.

10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on (571)272-4195. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DGC

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

5,8,07